Reply Attention of: Nicholas T. Hooge Direct Dial Number: 604 661 9391 Email Address: Nicholas T. Hooge for inhooge@farris.com

FARRIS

File No: 00019-1144-0000

August 19, 2022

BY ELECTRONIC FILING

British Columbia Utilities Commission Suite 410, 900 Howe Street Vancouver, BC V6Z 2N3

Attention: Sara Hardgrave,

Acting Commission Secretary and Manager

Dear Ms. Hardgrave:

Re: FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI or the Company)

Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) for Approval of the Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) Project (Application) ~ Project 1599211

FEI Submissions on Further Process

We are legal counsel for FEI in respect of the above-noted Application.

In Order G-206-22, dated July 22, 2022, the British Columbia Utilities Commission (**BCUC**) determined that that there is no compelling reason for an oral hearing in this proceeding and established a further regulatory timetable that included, following FEI's delivery of responses to additional information requests (**IRs**), the participants' Submissions on Further Process. Since the order was issued, FEI filed its responses to IRs on Rebuttal Evidence (on August 4, 2022) and on the Evidentiary Update (on August 16, 2022). FEI now files these Submissions on Further Process pursuant to Order G-206-22.

FEI respectfully submits that it is appropriate for the BCUC to establish a timetable for FEI and interveners to file Final Argument in writing as the next steps in the proceeding, followed by the BCUC making a decision on the Application. FEI submits that the following interval and timing would be reasonable for Final Argument:

Action	Date (2022)
FEI Written Final Argument	Tuesday, September 13
Intervener Written Final Argument	Tuesday, October 4
FEI Written Reply Argument	Wednesday, October 26

FEI reiterates its view, for the reasons stated in the Evidentiary Update of July 5, 2022 (Ex. B-30, p. 8), that the remainder of the regulatory process should allow for approval of the AMI Project by year-end of 2022. In FEI's submission, the proposed timing of Final Argument set out above would put the BCUC in the position to make a decision on the Application within that timeframe.

Yours truly,

FARRIS LLP

Per:

Nicholas T. Hooge

NTH/kl

c.c.: Registered Interveners;

Client;

Ludmila B. Herbst, Q.C.