

Diane Rov

Vice President, Regulatory Affairs

Gas Regulatory Affairs Correspondence Email: gas.regulatory.affairs@fortisbc.com

Electric Regulatory Affairs Correspondence Email: <u>electricity.regulatory.affairs@fortisbc.com</u> **FortisBC**

16705 Fraser Highway Surrey, B.C. V4N 0E8 Tel: (604)576-7349 Cell: (604) 908-2790 Fax: (604) 576-7074 www.fortisbc.com

November 19, 2020

British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre Suite 803 470 Granville Street Vancouver, B.C. V6C 1V5

Attention: Ms. Leigha Worth, Executive Director

Dear Ms. Worth:

Re: FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI)

Project No. 1599129

Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) for the Pattullo Gas Line Replacement Project (the Application)

Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre *et al.* (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1

On August 31 2020, FEI filed the Application referenced above. In accordance with the British Columbia Utilities Commission Order G-253-20 setting out the Regulatory Timetable for the review of the Application, FEI respectfully submits the attached response to BCOAPO IR No. 1.

If further information is required, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

FORTISBC ENERGY INC.

Original signed:

Diane Roy

Attachments

cc (email only): Commission Secretary

Registered Parties



2

3 4

5

6

FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI or the Company) Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Pattullo Gas Line Replacement Project (Application) Response to British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Page 1

1.0 Reference: Exhibit B-1, General, Financial Responsibility for Cost Overruns

Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre et al. (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1

1.1 In the event of material cost over-runs during the construction of the project please provide the specific circumstances under which (i) the contractor(s) would be financially responsible for some or all of the over-run, (ii) FEI would be financially responsible for some or all of the over-run, and (iii) ratepayers would be financially responsible for some or all of the over-run.

78 Response:

- A fundamental objective of risk allocation is to assign risks to the party who is best able to manage them. During contract negotiations, FEI and the contractor will agree on which party is best able to manage a particular risk occurrence, such that in the event of material cost overruns during the construction of the Project, the responsible party bears the risk of those cost over-runs. Examples of a cost over-run to the contractor and FEI, respectively, are provided below.
- A specific circumstance in which the contractor would be financially responsible for a cost overrun is where changes in productivity rates affect the execution of the works. As part of the
 contract risk allocation process, the contractor assumes the risk for constructing the Project on
 time and meeting the contract scheduled completion date. Any changes in productivity that
 cause a change in the labour quantities resulting in delays to the project schedule would be
 mitigated by the contractor adding resources at their cost to make up lost time in order to meet
 the contract completion date.
- A specific circumstance under which FEI would be financially responsible for a cost over-run would be where unknown or unexpected subsurface and underground conditions are discovered. For example, where the contractor encounters rock, cobble, or boulders or unanticipated third party utilities potentially requiring rework or workarounds to resolve.
- FEI submits project cost estimates as a range and calculates the contingency at a P50 confidence level. Should the actual cost of work exceed the estimate, as long as the expenditures are prudently incurred, FEI would recover the costs from customer rates.



FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI or the Company) Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Pattullo Gas Line Replacement Project (Application)	Submission Date: November 19, 2020
Response to British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre <i>et al.</i> (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 2

2.0 Reference: Exhibit B-1, FEI's Historical CPCN Cost Control Results

2.1 Please provide a table that shows, for each of the last 10 CPCN projects undertaken (and completed) by FEI, the overall budget approved by the BCUC, the final actual project costs to be recovered from ratepayers, the original target date, and the actual date in-service.

Response:

FEI notes that in granting a CPCN for a project, the BCUC makes a determination of the public interest regarding the project, which may be based in part on the cost estimate provided in the CPCN application. The BCUC does not approve an "overall budget" for a project when granting a CPCN. In more recent years, the BCUC has directed periodic reporting on the progress of projects which includes updates to project expenditures, implementation schedules and, in some cases, a requirement to file a material change report if, for example, there is a material change in the project cost estimate.

The following are the last ten projects undertaken and completed by FEI for which the BCUC granted a CPCN:

	BCUC Order	Project	Cost Estimate (\$millions) ¹	Completed Project Costs (\$millions) ²	Estimated In-Service Date	Delivered In-Service Date
1.	C-6-14	Huntingdon Bypass	\$8.624	\$7.004	October 2015	Spring 2016
2.	C-2-14	Muskwa River Crossing in Fort Nelson	\$7.040	\$5.111	May 2014	July 2014
3.	C-1-11; C-6-11	Victoria Regional Operations Centre	\$14.3	\$12.769	2012	2012
4.	C-9-10	Kootenay River Crossing Upgrade	\$8.304	\$7.82	July 2011	2013
5.	C-2-10; G-68-10	Tilbury Property Purchase	\$15.930	\$12.630	2010	2010
6.	C-1-10	Customer Care Enhancement CPCN	\$115.5	\$109.5	January 1, 2012	January 1, 2012
7.	C-2-09	Fraser River South Arm Crossing	\$32.75	\$34.0	June 2009	Q4 2011
8.	C-9-07	Mt. Hayes LNG Facility	\$200.0	\$190.413	2011	2011
9.	C-5-07	Distribution Mobile Solution	\$6.2	\$6.1	2008	2008
10.	C-6-06	Residential Unbundling (Customer Choice)	\$12.1	\$10.7	2007	2007
Total:		\$ 420.748	\$ 396.047			

Notes:

- ¹ Amounts include Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC).
- ² For items 1-8, as reported in Final Project Reports to the BCUC.



FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI or the Company) Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Pattullo Gas Line Replacement Project (Application) Response to British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre et al. (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1

- 1 FEI follows the engineering standard prescribed by the BCUC's CPCN Guidelines when
- 2 preparing the cost estimates provided in CPCN applications.¹ As seen in the table above, with
- 3 the exception of the Fraser River South Arm Crossing, the actual completed project costs were
- 4 lower than the estimate prepared for the CPCN Application. For the ten most recently
- 5 completed CPCN projects, the cumulative total actual project costs were lower than the
- 6 estimates by \$24.701 million or almost 6 percent.
- 7 With respect to the estimated in-service dates, as can also be seen in the table above, most of
- 8 these projects were in service within the timeline expected. To the extent that some projects
- 9 were delayed, those delays were primarily due to external factors outside of FEI's control.
- 10 In addition to project reporting requirements, project costs are subject to review when FEI adds
- 11 the costs of a completed project into rate base.



FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI or the Company) Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Pattullo Gas Line Replacement Project (Application)	Submission Date: November 19, 2020
Response to British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre <i>et al.</i> (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 4

3.0 Reference: Exhibit B-1, Page 45, 4.4.2.3 Scoring and Weighting

The referenced page states: Table 4-3 below shows the weighting applied for the PGR Project between non-financial and financial criteria, and also shows the weightings within the non-financial and financial criteria as described in Section 4.4.2 above. The weightings were determined through collaborative discussions with FEI's subject matter experts. In order to meet the stringent PGR Project schedule requirements driven by the Pattullo Bridge Replacement Project, FEI weighted schedule impacts highest. For non-financial evaluation criteria, each overland alternative was scored by subject matter leads based on system analysis and experience of similar projects using a scale from 1 to 3 as defined in Table 4-4 below. For financial criteria, the Overland Gas Line alternatives (6A, 6B and 6C) were evaluated from the lowest levelized delivery rate impact to the highest using a scale from 1 to 3.

3.1 Please provide additional details as to why the Non-Financial/Financial weighting of 90%/10% was chosen as an appropriate weighting.

Response:

17 Please refer to the response to CEC IR1 14.2.



тм	FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI or the Company) Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Pattullo Gas Line Replacement Project (Application)	Submission Date: November 19, 2020
	Response to British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre <i>et al.</i> (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 5

1 4.0 Reference: Exhibit B-1, Evaluation Summaries, pages 46-49, Tables 4-6 – 4-8

4.1 Can FEI confirm that it will be providing an update to the referenced tables to include the Sperling option in its responses or in its evidentiary update?

Response:

6 Confirmed. Please refer to the response BCUC IR1 13.1.



FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI or the Company) Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Pattullo Gas

Line Replacement Project (Application)

Response to British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre et al. (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1

Submission Date: November 19, 2020

Page 6

5.0 Reference: Exhibit B-1, page 29, Appendix A-1, and page 2, footnote 1

The first referenced page states: FEI believes that installing a replacement gas line on the New Bridge would have the Least impact, and therefore it continued to pursue this alternative with multiple attempts to meet with MoTI's chief engineer to discuss the reasons as provided and to discuss any design modifications to meet the new lifeline design criteria. MoTI verbally confirmed that its decision was final in January 2020. Having exhausted all possibilities for approval by MoTI, FEI concluded that this alternative was not feasible.

5.1 Can FEI confirm that MoTI's chief engineer refused to meet with FEI to discuss this matter?

10 11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Response:

FEI and the MoTI Chief Engineer met on March 13, 2019 regarding installing a replacement gas line on the New Bridge. This was the only meeting that the MoTI Chief Engineer held with FEI despite multiple requests by FEI to meet between September 2018 and January 2020. At the meeting held on March 13, 2019, FEI made a brief presentation to the Chief Engineer and suggested an independent technical review of whether the new gas line could be installed on the New Bridge such that it met the MoTl's lifeline design criteria. The Chief Engineer declined FEI's proposal to undertake an independent technical review and indicated he would not change his decision.

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34 35 The document A-1 is a letter from MoTI, dated July 3, 2018 which states (in part):

MoTI has reviewed Fortis' request to install a natural gas pipeline on the new bridge, and after careful consideration of all the factors, including the justifications put forward by Fortis, the decision reached is to not allow Fortis to install a replacement natural gas pipeline at either distribution or intermediate pressure on the new Pattullo Bridge.

The third reference provides a link to the Council Meeting Minutes for the July 20, 2020 meeting at Burnaby City Hall. This document at page 8 reads (in part):

The Financial Management Committee recommended:

1. THAT Council oppose the proposed Fortis Pattullo Bridge Gas Line Replacement Project pipeline route through Burnaby and notify FortisBC and the British Columbia Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure that the City of Burnaby require that FortisBC be allowed to replace its existing gas line on



FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI or the Company) Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Pattullo Gas Line Replacement Project (Application) Response to British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre et al. (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1

1 the Pattullo Bridge with a new gas line on the new bridge to prevent 2 avoidable impacts to City of Burnaby residents. ..." (Emphasis added.) 3 The motion was amended and passed unanimously. 4 5.2 Did FEI make any representations to Council in advance of the referenced 5 meeting in respect of the MoTI's flat refusal, two years prior, to allow the replacement gas line on the new bridge? If so, please provide details. 6 7 8 Response: 9 Yes. On February 11, 2020, FEI presented the Project's proposed Gaglardi Route to City of 10 Burnaby staff, and to Mayor and Council at a Council workshop on March 3, 2020. During both 11 discussions, FEI confirmed that the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure would not 12 permit the replacement gas line to be attached to the New Bridge. 13 14 15 16 5.3 Can FEI confirm that Burnaby City Council was aware of MoTl's 2018 denial of 17 use of the new bridge for the replacement gas line when it approved the 18 referenced motion in 2020? 19

Response:

20

22

21 Please refer to the response to BCOAPO IR1 5.2.



FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI or the Company) Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Pattullo Gas Line Replacement Project (Application) Response to British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre et al. (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1

6.0	Reference:	Exhibit B-3	, page 13
-----	------------	-------------	-----------

The Report states: "Due to Indigenous groups implementing COVID-19 restrictions in March 2020, and subsequent associated capacity challenges, communications with Indigenous groups have focused on email, regular mail, and virtual meetings. FEI also respected Indigenous groups' requests for longer document review times and conducted engagement meetings virtually where possible."

6.1 Please describe what FEI means by "subsequent associated capacity challenges" in this context and how FEI accommodated those challenges.

Response:

"Subsequent associated capacity challenges" in this context refers to Indigenous groups focusing their resource capacity and attention on the immediate health and safety needs of their communities in light of COVID-19. This has resulted in constraints on the available time and resources of Indigenous groups to review FEI's Projector ground disturbance activity.

6.2 Were there times when FEI was not able to accommodate the request of potentially affected First Nations peoples for more time to review documents or for virtual meetings? If so, please describe those instances and how FEI proceeded in the absence of such accommodation.

Response:

No, FEI has been able to accommodate all requests by potentially affected Indigenous groups for more time to review documents and for virtual meetings regarding the Project.



FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI or the Company) Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Pattullo Gas Line Replacement Project (Application)

Submission Date: November 19, 2020

Response to British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre *et al.* (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1

Page 9

7.0 Reference: Exhibit B-3, page 16

The referenced page states: "Issues raised by Indigenous groups regarding the Gaglardi and Sperling Routes included capacity constraints to engage and participate in field programs due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on communities' availability of personnel and safety policies. FEI supported the capacity constraints with communication in a frequency and format desired by communities, as well as offers of financial assistance to fund additional personnel or equipment, if necessary. Interests raised include involvement in archaeological and cultural monitoring, and socioeconomic opportunities. These interests and issues will be addressed through the ongoing engagement with these communities."

7.1 When FEI says it offered to fund additional personnel or equipment to allay capacity concerns, does it mean it made that offer of capacity funding in the first instance to all of the Indigenous groups it reached out to, or only those who expressed a concern or made a specific request for funding in the absence of an offer?

Response:

FEI offered capacity funding to Indigenous groups who met with FEI to discuss the Project. FEI communicated this offer verbally and through presentation materials. FEI's archaeological consultant also offered funding to support the attendance of Indigenous archaeological monitors on-site and/or virtually. FEI is early in the development of capacity funding agreements with interested Indigenous groups, and as such, has not placed any limitations on the funding at this time.

7.2 Please specify what the utility communicated to the Indigenous communities about its willingness to provide capacity funding and any limitations it placed on that funding.

Response:

Please refer to the response to BCOAPO IR1 7.1.



FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI or the Company) Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Pattullo Gas Line Replacement Project (Application)	Submission Date: November 19, 2020
Response to British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre et al. (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 10

7.3 Does FEI have any documentation from the engaged groups indicating their position on the engagement/consultation process thus far? If so, please provide those on the record.

Response:

FEI does not have any documentation from the engaged Indigenous groups regarding their position on the engagement/consultation process thus far.

7.4 Does the Utility have any documentation from the engaged groups indicating their views of the likelihood that they and FEI will conclude this consultation and engagement process on a positive note? If so, please provide those on the record.

Response:

At this early stage of engagement, the overall tone of feedback has been neutral. FEI does not have any documentation from engaged Indigenous groups indicating their views regarding how the consultation and engagement process will likely conclude.

7.5 When does FEI expect this engagement process will conclude?

Response:

FEI expects the engagement process to continue for the duration of the Project, including post-construction. FEI's approach to engagement ensures ongoing dialogue and the incorporation of feedback during the Project's development and execution. FEI may also hold a Project debrief with interested Indigenous groups following construction in order to solicit feedback and lessons learned for the benefit of future project planning.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI or the Company) Submission Date: Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Pattullo Gas November 19, 2020 Line Replacement Project (Application) Response to British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Page 11 Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre et al. (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1

8.0	Reference:	Exhibit B-3, page 1	a
0.0	Reference.	EXHIBIT D-3, page 1	IJ

The referenced page states: "While the potential impacts on Indigenous rights and interests are understood as being fairly low, FEI will continue to engage through followup meetings, information sharing, and letters/emails, including advising of our filing of the Application. FEI will ensure the Indigenous groups are able to participate through providing capacity funding when requested."

8.1 How has FEI come to understand that the potential impacts of this project on Indigenous rights and interests is "fairly low"?

9 10 Response:

- 11 FEI makes no assessment of Indigenous rights, title, or interest in the Project Area. Rather,
- 12 FEI's characterization is based on the fact that the majority of ground disturbance activity will
- 13 take place in pre-disturbed areas. Further, based on a preliminary assessment of the Gaglardi
- 14 Route, there is only one known archaeological site, near the intersection of Cariboo Road and
- 15 Highway 1, which is more than 50 metres away from the anticipated work zone where ground
- 16 disturbance would occur.
- 17 FEI will continue to engage with Indigenous groups to understand their interests, develop 18 mitigations, and support their involvement as the Project progresses.

19 20

> 8.2 Is this a characterization agreed to by the engaged groups?

22 23 24

21

Response:

25 FEI is not implying that its characterization is agreed to by the engaged Indigenous groups. 26

Please refer to the response to BCOAPO IR1 8.1.

27 28

29 30

31

8.3 Is this characterization an FEI measure of the number of impacts, the number of groups potentially impacted, the seriousness of the concerns caused to the affected peoples or some other measure?

32 33 34

35

Response:

Please refer to the response to BCOAPO IR1 8.1.